

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 30, 2003 LB 295

located in there, maybe some buildings, that's what we're talking about?

SENATOR BAKER: That's correct.

SENATOR RAIKES: And I think the existing statute, if...and I think you made this point, and I'm just restating it to make sure I have it correct. The existing statute was there to ensure that that area between the first field and the home site would not be valued like an acreage, it would instead be valued like the farm land, the farm ground that is around it. Is that correct?

SENATOR BAKER: That is correct. And we were only talking one acre here, in the old language, old statutes, the farm site described as not more than one acre. We're not talking...

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay. So...

SENATOR BAKER: ...about the buildings now, we're talking about the real estate.

SENATOR RAIKES: But again, we're talking about real estate and buildings other than the house and the one acre surrounding...or, the one acre which the house sits on.

SENATOR BAKER: That's right. We're still valuing that house as a farm...as a residence. I shouldn't say farm, as a residence.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay. And I think the concern may be, on this change, that, is this going to make it possible that that land that's between the house and the field, if you will, that farm site area, not farm home site, but farm site area, is that going to...is it possible now that it could in fact be valued like an acreage? Suppose you have a farm site with a house on it, and down the road a quarter of a mile on either side is an acreage, a person that has a house and whatever amount of land that the county allows for such a development. They sell for "x" dollars. Is the assessor going to say, well, look, land away from the house on an acreage is worth \$5,000 an acre, so here is land away from the house on an acreage that...or, on an area